THE EFFECTS OF HERITAGE EXPERIENTIAL QUALITY ON CUSTOMERS' BEHAVIOURAL INTENTION AT HERITAGE HOTEL IN YOGYAKARTA

(Study on Royal Ambarrukmo Yogyakarta)

PENGARUH PENGALAMAN KUALITAS WARISAN BUDAYA TERHADAP NIAT PERILAKU PELANGGAN PADA HOTEL HERITAGE DI YOGYAKARTA

(Studi pada Royal Ambarrukmo Yogyakarta)

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS

Submitted to Fulfill the Requirements to Get a Bachelor's Degree at the Faculty of Economics, Management Department,
Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta



By:

NADYA PRAMUDITA PUTRI 20200410310

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM OF MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS
FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH YOGYAKARTA
2024

DECLARATION

Name

: Nadya Pramudita Putri

Student Number

: 20200410310

I hereby declare that this thesis entitled: "THE EFFECTS OF HERITAGE

EXPERIENTIAL QUALITY ON CUSTOMERS' BEHAVIOURAL

INTENTION AT HERITAGE HOTEL IN YOGYAKARTA" does not contain

any work submitted to obtain a bachelor's degree at a university, and to my

knowledge, there are also no works or opinions that have been written or

published by other people, except for those that are referred to in the manuscript

and written in bibliography. If any violation of intellectual rights is later found in

this manuscript, I agree to accept any relevant academic consequences.

Yogyakarta, 16 March 2024

Nadya Pramudita Putri

iv

MOTTO

لا يكلف الله نفساً إلا وسعها

"Allah does not burden a soul beyond that it can bear."

QS. Al-Baqarah: 286

"A strong believer is better and more loved by Allah than a weak believer.

Everything is better. Look at things that are beneficial to you and ask Allah for help and do not be helpless." (Muslim)

PREFACE

Praise be to Allah SWT for providing convenience, grace and mercy in writing the thesis entitled "The Effects of Heritage Experiential Quality on Customers' Behavioural Intention at Heritage Hotel in Yogyakarta". This thesis is prepared to fulfil one of the requirements for obtaining a bachelor's degree at the Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta. The author takes this topic with the hope of providing input for organizations in the use of influencing tactics in organizational decision-making and providing development ideas for further research.

The completion of this thesis is inseparable from the guidance and support of various parties, therefore on this occasion, the authors would like to express our deepest gratitude to:

- Dean of the Faculty of Economics, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, who has provided instructions, guidance and convenience for the author to complete the study.
- 2. Dr. Indah Fatmawati, S.E., M.Si., who has patiently provided input and guidance during the process of completing this thesis.
- 3. My mother, Endang Ostikawati, who has loved me through the best and hardest times, and also prayed for the best in my life.
- 4. My father, Pramudi Lubiyantono, who has provided the opportunity to study in university and gave guidance and enormous support in completing this thesis.

5. My brother and sister, Hanif and Atikah, who always give the happiest and joyful times during my study.

6. Yahya Lubis, for always being supportive and giving lots of encouragement from the beginning until the completion of this thesis.

7. My best of friend, Ghaisani, Layla, Reine, and fellow IMaBs friends who have provided support, assistance, ease and enthusiasm in the process of completing this thesis.

The author realizes that there are still many shortcomings in this thesis.

Therefore, criticism, suggestions, and further research development are indispensable for the depth of this topic.

Yogyakarta, 16 March 2024

Nadya Pramudita Putri

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLAR	ATION	iii
мотто		v
ABSTRA	CT	vi
PREFACI	E	vii
LIST OF	TABLES	xi
LIST OF	FIGURES	xiii
СНАРТЕ	ER I	1
INTRO	DUCTION	1
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Research Questions	8
1.3	Research Objectives	9
1.4	Research Benefits	10
СНАРТЕ	ER II	12
LITER	ATURE REVIEW	12
2.1	Theoretical Framework	12
2.2	Conceptual Definition	13
2.3	Previous Studies	18
2.4	Hypotheses Development	23
2.5	Research Model	37
CHAPTE	ER III	39
RESEA	ARCH METHODOLOGY	39
3.1	Research Approach	39
3.2	Sampling Technique	40
3.3	Data Collection Method	41
3.4	Definition of Research Operational Variables	41
3.5	Instrument and Data Quality Test	44
3.6	Hypotheses Test and Data Analysis	45
CHAPTE	ER IV	48
DISCU	SSION	48
4.1	General Description of Research Object and Subject	48

4.2	Instruments Quality Test	51
4.3	Analysis of Statistical Description of Research Variables	53
4.4	Results of Analysis and Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	54
4.5	Hypotheses Testing	65
4.6	Discussion	72
СНАРТЬ	ER V	87
CONC	LUSION, RESEARCH LIMITATION AND RECOMMENDATION	87
5.1	Conclusion	87
5.2	Research Limitation	89
5.3	Recommendation	89
REFERE	INCES	91
APPEND	DICES	91

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1. Previous Research Findings
Table 2. 2. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Heritage Hotel Image
Table 2.3. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Perceived Heritage Value
Table 2.4. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Heritage Experience Satisfaction
Table 2.5. The Relationship between Heritage Hotel Image and Behavioural Intention
Table 2.6. The Relationship between Perceived Heritage Value and Behavioural Intention
Table 2.7. The Relationship Between Heritage Experience Satisfaction and Behavioural Intention
Table 2.8. The Relationship between Heritage Hotel Image and Perceived Heritage Value
Table 2.9. The Relationship between Perceived Heritage Value and Heritage Experience Satisfaction
Table 2.10. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Behavioural Intention Mediated by Heritage Hotel Image
Table 2.11. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Behavioural Intention mediated by Perceived Heritage Value
Table 2.12. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Behavioural Intention mediated by Heritage Experience Satisfaction
Table 2.13. The Relationship between Heritage Experiential Quality and Behavioural Intention
Table 3.4. Research Operational Variables
Table 4.1. Characteristics of Respondents
Table 4.2. Instrument Quality Test
Table 4.3. Statistical Description of Research Variables
Table 4.4. Computation of Degrees of Freedom
Table 4.5. Normality Test
Table 4.5. Outlier Test Results
Table 4.6. Outlier Test Results After Elimination

Table 4.7. Model Goodness-of-Fit	63
Table 4.8. Regression Weight Test Results	65
Table 4.9. Standardized Direct Effects	69
Table 4.10. Standardized Indirect Effects	69
Table 4.11. Standardized Total Effects	69
Table 4.12. Mediation Test Results	70
Table 4.13. Hypothesis Testing Results	71

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Research Model	37
Figure 4.1. AMOS Path Diagram	55
Figure 4.2. SEM Unstandardized Estimates Output	64
Figure 4.3. SEM Standardized Estimates Output	64