The European Union Policy Considerations toward Tibet Riots on March 10, 2008

AN UNDERGRADUATE THESIS



By:

Risa Qoni'ah

(20050510141)

INTERNATIONAL CLASS OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS SOCIAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCES FACULTY UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH YOGYAKARTA 2009

AN UNDERGRADUATE THESIS

THE EUROPEAN UNION POLICY CONSIDERATIONS TOWARD TIBET RIOTS ON MARCH 10, 2008

Presented as partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Sarjana Ilmu Politik (S.IP) in the Department of International Relations, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta

Written By: Risa Qoni'ah 20050510141

Advisor : Dra. Mutia Hariati H., M.Si

DEPARTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS SOCIAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCES FACULTY UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH YOGYAKARTA 2009

ENDORSEMENT PAGE

This undergraduate thesis entitled: **The European Union Policy Considerations toward Tibet Riots on March 10, 2008**

Written by: RISA QONI'AH 20050510141

This undergraduate thesis has been examined and endorsed by the board of examiners from the Department of International Relations, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta on

| Day/Date | : Tuesday, May 5, 2009 |
|----------|------------------------|
| Time | : 08.00 |
| Place | : HI C |

Acknowledged by, Advisor/Chief of Examiner

Dra. Mutia Hariati H., M.Si

Examiner I

Examiner II

Prof. Dr. H. Bambang Cipto, M.A

Grace Lestariana W.,S.IP., M.Si

ABSTRACT

This paper is aimed to find out the considerations behind the European Union (EU) policy to condemn the Tibet riots which have been happened on March 10, 2008 and not to boycott the 2008 Beijing Olympic Games. The Rational actor model which is explained by Graham T. Allison is used to analyze the problem. Allison mentions that the rational actor will choose one of the rational choices that yield the highest payoff. This research is conducted using library research, in which the data are obtained from books, journals and other reliable sources.

The EU decision in condemning Tibet riots is regarded as a rational choice. The rational actor emphasizes the best choice of action taken among alternatives sets of consequences cost and benefit. As a rational actor, EU will choose the choice that gives the most beneficial effect toward EU's national interest. EU does not want to take any actions that can harm its bilateral relations because China is EU main trade partner.

There are three considerations behind EU's decision to condemn Tibet riots. Firstly, boycotting 2008 Beijing Olympic Games will lose an opportunity to promote human rights in China. The EU regards that the boycott of Beijing Olympic Games can ruin its attempt to promote human rights in China. The better way is having dialogue with China to support China in dealing with human rights issue. Secondly, boycotting Olympic Games is also politically ineffective, because it is regarded as a coercive action which can deteriorate EU-China bilateral relations. The last, condemnation is a better way its concern toward Tibet riots. It helps EU to maintain its bilateral relations with China without sacrificing sport interest. Finally, EU chooses the best rational choice that gives most beneficial effect toward its national interest, namely economic well being.

Key words: Boycotting Olympic Games, Condemning, European Union, Tibet riots,

CONTENTS

| Title Page |
|---|
| Abstract |
| Contents |
| List of Tables |
| List of Abbreviations |
| CHAPTER I: Introduction1 |
| A. Research Question |
| B. Theoretical Framework10 |
| C. Hypothesis |
| D. Research Method13 |
| E. Writing System14 |
| CHAPTER II: European Union Foreign Policy Decision Making Process15 |
| A. Common Foreign and Security Policy (CFSP)17 |
| CHAPTER III: Tibet Riots |
| A. EU Response Toward Tibet Riots |
| B. EU Foreign Policy Toward China40 |

| CHAPTER IV: The Considerations Behind European Union Policy Toward Tibet |
|--|
| Riots48 |
| A. The Cost and Benefits of Rational Choices |
| B. European Union Considerations Behind Its Foreign Policy toward Tibet |
| Riots |
| 1. Political Aspect: Boycott Loses Opportunity to Carry Out its Claim as Human |
| Rights Defender53 |
| 2. Social Aspect: Boycott will Cause Social Cost for EU57 |
| 3. Economical Aspect: Condemnation is a Better Way to Maintain EU-China |
| Trade Relations61 |
| CHAPTER V: Conclusion |
| References70 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1 : European Union Member Countries1 | | |
|--|--|--|
| Table 2 : CFSP Working Groups | | |
| Table 3 : The Distribution of Votes for Each Member State | | |
| Table 4 : Policy Areas Covered by Qualified Majority Voting31 | | |
| Table 5 : EU Trade in Goods46 | | |
| Table 6: The Benefits and Costs of Boycotting Olympic Games and Condemning Tibet | | |
| Riots | | |
| Table 7: EU-China Trade Statistic | | |
| Figure 1: The Three Pillars Structure2 | | |
| Figure 2: The Pillar System of the EU16 | | |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ССР | : Chinese Communist Party |
|---------|--|
| CFSP | : Common Foreign and Security Policy |
| COREPER | : Committee of Permanent Representatives |
| DG | : Directorate General |
| EC | : European Community |
| ECHR | : European Court of Human Rights |
| ECJ | : European Court of Justice |
| EEC | : European Economic Community |
| EIDHR | : European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights |
| EP | : European Parliament |
| ESC | : Economic and Social Committee |
| ESDP | : European Security and Defence Policy |
| EU | : European Union |
| EUMC | : European Union Military Committee |
| EUMS | : European Union Military Staff |
| GAERC | : General Affairs and External Relations |
| HR | : High Representative |
| IOC | : International Olympic Committee |
| JHA | : Justice and Home Affairs |
| MEP | : Member of the European Parliaments |
| PRC | : People's Republic of China |
| PSC | : Political and Security Committee |
| QMV | : Qualified Majority Voting |
| TEU | : Treaty on European Union |
| WTO | : World Trade Organization |
| | |